

Hart District Local Plan 2011-2032
Consultation on
Refined Housing Options and Vision & Strategic
Priorities
Consultation closes 15 January 2016

Response Form

*Required

Name:* You must give us your name otherwise it will invalidate your response to this consultation.

Hartley Wintney Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Postcode:* You must give us your postcode. Invalid postcodes will invalidate your response to this consultation.

RG27 8RE

(Parish Council Office)

If you would like to be sent a copy of the results of this consultation and to hear about future local plan consultations please enter your email address below:

Email address:

neighbourhoodplan@hartleywintney.org.uk

Consultation questions regarding the refined options for strategic new homes growth

You need not answer every question or make comments but you must answer Questions 4 and 5 and you must complete those two questions in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Q1. Do you have any comments on how to meet the needs of specialist groups such as affordable and Starter Homes, Custom or self-build homes, specialist homes for older people, and sites for the Travelling Community?

Regarding Hartley Wintney Only - but also see Q7 comments.

Our Community Consultation responses show a bias towards starter and more affordable market housing plus housing for older residents to trade down to.

Q2. Where are the sites within Hart District that you think may be appropriate for:

a) Affordable and Starter Homes

In Hartley Wintney

The Neighbourhood Plan team favour the rural exception scheme (site 19b in the HDC New Homes Sites Booklet) being proposed as a result of the call for sites

b) Custom and Self Build?

In Hartley Wintney

No evidence of requests

c) Homes for older people?

In Hartley Wintney

Responses want to stay in mixed community as a preference

d) Travelling communities?

In Hartley Wintney

We already have Star Hill which is a permanent HCC site. The liaison officer is Barry Jordan-Davis who can provide additional information required.

Q3. The Council has an existing Settlement Hierarchy (2010). If the scale or sustainability of existing settlements could be used to inform the acceptability of dispersing some of the future new home requirement, it is important to ensure that the existing hierarchy is correct.

Do you agree with the current Settlement Hierarchy? *(Please tick)*

Yes	✓
No	

If not, how should it be changed?

See Q7 comments.

Q4. Of the 3 possible approaches that could deliver new homes in Hart, which one should we prioritise to deliver the majority of our housing needs?*

Please rank your choice in order of preference (1 = most preferred to 3 = least preferred)

You must complete this question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Option	Rank
Approach 1: Disperse development throughout the following towns and villages: <i>Blackwater & Hawley, Crondall, Eversley, Ewshot, Fleet & Elvetham & Church Crookham, Hartley Wintney, Hook, Rotherwick and Yateley.</i>	1
Approach 2: Strategic Urban Extensions at main settlements <i>(West of Hook; Pale Lane, Fleet; and West of Fleet)</i>	2
Approach 3: A new settlement at Winchfield	3

Q5. If we need to combine approaches, which combinations do you prefer? *

Please rank your choice in order of preference (1 = most preferred to 4 = least preferred).

You must complete this question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

		Rank
Approach 4	<p><u>Combine Approaches 1 and 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disperse development throughout the towns and villages across the district <i>and</i> Strategic Urban Extensions at main settlements 	1
Approach 5	<p><u>Combine Approaches 2 and 3</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strategic Urban Extensions at main settlements <i>and</i> A new settlement at Winchfield 	3
Approach 6	<p><u>Combine Approaches 3 and 1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A new settlement at Winchfield <i>and</i> Disperse development throughout the towns and villages across the district 	2
Approach 7	<p><u>Combine all three approaches</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disperse development throughout the towns and villages across the district <i>and</i> Strategic Urban Extensions at main settlements <i>and</i> A new settlement at Winchfield 	4

Please provide any further comments on this below.

In Hartley Wintney

There is a clear lack of support for the proposal for Winchfield as a new settlement. People have expressed views that it would be better for ALL settlements to accept some extra housing if it will mitigate the need for any major new settlement.

Q6. The accompanying “New Homes Sites Booklet” shows, by Parish, sites that are available for the development of new homes. Do you have any comments on any of these sites?

For parishes where there is a choice of two or more shortlisted sites (in red and listed on the tables on each map), please rank the sites in order of preference (1=most preferred, then 2, 3, 4 etc. to least preferred). Please add any comments to support your ranking.

You may also comment on any ‘rejected ‘sites (in blue and listed on the tables on each map)

You may complete the ranking for as many parishes as you like. In terms of the weighting given to responses to this question, regard will be paid to how close you live to the sites being ranked. Please read the New Homes Site Booklet for more detail.

Please note that Question 6 and the sites booklet relate only to ‘non-strategic’ sites. Very large site ‘strategic’ site options covered under Approaches 2 and 3 (Strategic Urban Extensions and New Settlement) are not included in this ranking exercise. If you wish to make comments on those sites please do so under Questions 4 and 5 of the response form.

Blackwater & Hawley

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 2=least preferred)
100	Sun Park, Guillemont Park North	
153	Brook House	
<u>Comments on ‘Shortlisted’ and/or ‘Rejected’ Sites</u>		

Bramshill - no shortlisted sites

Church Crookham

Site		
90	Stillers Farm (shared with Ewshot parish)	
<u>Comments</u>		

Crondall

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 2=least preferred)
73	Land west of Crondall	
74	Land north west of Crondall	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Crookham Village

Site		
116	Cross Farm	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Dogmersfield

Site		
COM001	Land at Dogmersfield	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Elvetham Heath

Eversley

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 9=least preferred)
23	Land west of Marsh Lane	
26	Land north of Reading Road	
103	Land adjoining Crosby Gardens	
112a	CEMEX site A	
112b	CEMEX site B	
122	Land west of the Fielders	
246	Area B land at Eversley Cross	
247	Land north of Hollybush Lane	
273	Land between Eversley Road and Firgrove Road	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Ewshot

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 3=least preferred)
90	Stillers Farm (shared with Church Crookham parish)	
COM005	Land south of Church Crookham	
COM006	Land east of Redfields Lane	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Fleet

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 4=least preferred)
320	Town Centre, zone 2	
322	Town Centre, zone 4	
338	Land at great Bramshot Farm	
357	Land at Sankey Lane	

Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites

Greywell - no shortlisted or rejected sites

Hartley Wintney

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 3=least preferred)
19a	Land at Grange Farm A	1
19b	Land at Grange Farm B	2
COM002	Land adjacent to Causeway Green and Farm	3

Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites

1. 19a, 19b - The land owner is only offering much lower housing numbers (19a for a maximum of 50 and 19b - the Rural exception scheme - for a maximum of 12) which the Neighbourhood Plan has accepted as appropriate and which fit in with the wishes so far expressed by the community in responses to surveys.
2. COM002 is unacceptable because of the accepted viewpoint coming into Hartley Wintney and because the impact of Rifle Range Farm is already enough for this road.
3. Our call for sites, along with other SHLAAs, has indicated further smaller sites which we are assessing alongside those mentioned in order to find appropriate sites to make the numbers required of us. Responses to our surveys show that the community wishes to see smaller dispersed sites rather than large ones.

Heckfield

Site		
92	Land south of Riseley	

Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites

--

Hook

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site			Please rank (1=most preferred, 3=least preferred)
9		Land at Owen's Farm	
130		West of Varndell Road	
COM003		Hook Garden Centre, Reading Road	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>			

Long Sutton

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 4=least preferred)
30	Land at Hyde Road	
31	Land east of Copse Lane	
62	Granary Fields	
291	Land south of Chaffers Close	

Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites

Mattingley - no shortlisted sites

Odiham

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 3=least preferred)
79	Land south of Hamilton House	
327	Land to the south of Crownfields (west)	
COM004	Land to the north of Deptford Lane	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Rotherwick

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 3=least preferred)
87	Land north west of Rotherwick Village	
115	Land at Green Lane	
290	Land at Rosemary Cottage	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

South Warnborough

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 3=least preferred)
33	Plough Meadow	
71	Land adjacent to Nash Meadows / Ridley's Piece	
172	Granary Court	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Winchfield - no shortlisted non-strategic sites

Note: To comment on the Winchfield New Settlement option please see questions 4 and 5

Yateley

You need not answer this question or make comments but if you seek to answer it you must complete the question in full by ranking all preferences otherwise it will invalidate your response.

Site		Please rank (1=most preferred, 2=least preferred)
11	Land at Moulsham Lane	
20	Land at Reading Road	
<u>Comments on 'Shortlisted' and/or 'Rejected' Sites</u>		

Q7 Do you have any other comments on the Refined Housing Options Paper?

- 1. The Hartley Wintney Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group would welcome the opportunity to discuss further with Hart D.C. our responses as contained within this submission and the overall Hart public consultation.**
- 2. Please note that these responses are from the Hartley Wintney Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group and that our responses are evidenced by the results of formal questionnaires and feedback from the residents of Hartley Wintney.**
- 3. While we do not feel it is appropriate for us to comment on the proposals contained in this document referring to our neighbouring communities we feel it is vital that the Hart Local Plan retains the green spaces and settlement boundaries that separate these communities today. These principles are reflected in the Vision and Key issues of HDC's own draft Local Plan**
- 4. With regards to settlement hierarchies which were last reviewed in 2010 we feel that a further review should be made of these to reflect that many services have been impacted or removed by the economic and political changes that have occurred in the last 6 years.**
- 5. We trust that studies of the complete infrastructure requirements and the impact of new business and employment systems that will result from the Local Plan are carried out and the results incorporated to ensure that all communities will be satisfactorily serviced by the new Local Plan when implemented.**

Consultation questions regarding the draft Local Plan Vision and Priorities

We have identified a set of key issues for the Local Plan in table 1 on page

5. Do you agree with them? *(Please tick)*

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Do you have any comments on the key issues?

We have drafted a vision setting out how the district might look by 2032 on page 6. To what extent do you agree with it? *(Please tick)*

Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Slightly Agree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Slightly disagree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Disagree	<input type="checkbox"/>

Do you have any comments on the Vision?

We have identified some draft strategic priorities for the Local Plan in table 2 on page 7. Do you agree with them? *(Please tick)*

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Do you have any comments on the strategic priorities?

The number of strategic priorities is considered too high and should be reduced to no more than 5 to ensure that the correct emphasis and focus is provided in the Local Plan.

Please send this response to:

Planning Policy Team

Hart District Council

Civic Offices

Harlington Way

Fleet

Hampshire

GU51 4BR

Or email it to: planningpolicy@hart.gov.uk